

*8th International Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV Therapy, Budapest, Hungary, April 2007.*

## COMPARISON OF AN HPLC-UV METHOD WITH A RAPID AUTOMATED IMMUNOASSAY (EIA) TO MEASURE ATAZANAVIR CONCENTRATIONS IN HIV+ PATIENTS

P. Villani, M. Cusato, A. Bartoli, M. Broglia, M.B. Regazzi,

Clinical Pharmacokinetics Unit, Foundation IRCCS-Policlinico S. Matteo, University of Pavia,

Quantification of atazanavir (ATV) in plasma is integral to clinical use of this antiretroviral drug. Available methods include liquid chromatography with UV and mass detection that are specific for ATV. A new antibody-based method using enzyme immunoassay technology (EIA) has been lately tested for use on automated platforms (VIVA-E, Dade Behring). This technique has several advantages over HPLC-based methods in terms of ease of use, availability of equipment and semi-automation.

The aim of this study was to compare a validated HPLC method using ultraviolet detection (UV) with an EIA (ARK ATV Test™) in plasma samples collected at steady-state, just before drug administration ( $C_{\text{trough}}$ ), in 40 HIV+ patients.

The EIA is based on competitive binding to an antibody between ATV in the sample and the ATV-labeled enzyme. Reaction rate is measured spectrophotometrically (VIVA-E, Dade-Behring).

QC samples at 0.2, 0.75, and 3.0  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  show intra-assay precision of 4.8, 5.1 and 9.0 CV%, respectively. Accuracy was within 10% for all three QCs assayed.

In the 40 samples collected from these patients the mean $\pm$ SD plasma concentration of ATV measured by HPLC-UV was  $1.4\pm 1.3$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$ . The corresponding value for ATV obtained by EIA was  $1.2\pm 1.0$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$ .

A Bland-Altman plot demonstrated that EIA gave similar results to HPLC-UV. The mean absolute difference between EIA and HPLC-UV was  $-0.2\pm 0.5$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$  (range:  $-2.5$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$  to  $+0.2$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) for a concentration range (as determined by HPLC-UV) of 0  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  to 3.8  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ , which corresponds to a mean $\pm$ SD relative difference of  $-4.5\%\pm 2.1\%$ .

A good correlation between the two methods was found using linear regression

$$[\text{EIA}] = 0.00 + 0.98 \times ([\text{HPLC-UV}]) \text{ with } r^2 = 0.82$$

In conclusion, HPLC-UV and the ARK ATV Test™ both gave satisfactory results in the analysis of clinical samples for the therapeutic drug monitoring of ATV.